



Student Paper Competition Guidelines

A. Purpose

The IEEE Student Prize Paper Contest offers the undergraduate IEEE Student member opportunities to exercise and improve both written and verbal communication skills. Throughout an engineer's career, he/she will be constantly called upon to communicate ideas to others. Researching, writing, and presenting a paper provides a Student with invaluable early experience in expressing ideas related to engineering. Since the paper contest's primary function is to improve the engineering student's communicative skills, no Student should be discouraged from entering the contest due to a false requirement of technical sophistication.

B. Eligibility

1. The entrant must be an undergraduate student at a school in the Region at which there is an IEEE student Branch at the time of entry and presentation at the Branch contest.
2. A Student must complete and submit an application for membership in IEEE prior to entry in the Branch Contest.
3. An entrant may collaborate writing a paper with additional students, all whom meet the above criteria with the exception of A-2.

C. Number of Entries

1. There shall be no limit of entries in the local Branch contest. If there is only one entry, the Counselor may declare the author submitting the paper the Branch winner.
2. Each Branch normally enters the first place winning paper in the next level contest.
3. No paper may be entered in the Area or Regional contest without the prior approval and certification of the Branch Counselor.

D. Prizes and Travel Expenses

1. The Institute Life Member Fund will provide a total of \$1,600.00 prize money for the Regional Contest which shall be allocated as follows:

First Place	\$800.00,
Second Place	\$500.00,
Third Place	\$300.00.

2. Additional prize money may be made available at the option of the Chairman of each contest.
3. The schools represented by the winning Regional papers may receive appropriate recognition from their Region.
4. Co-authors shall share equally in the allocation of cash awards.
5. Regional Student Activities Committee budget shall support the Area and Regional contest expenses, including travel, unless other funds are available.

E. Subject Matter

1. Papers should cover technical, engineering, management, or societal aspects of subjects reasonably within or related to the areas with which the author is familiar, either from courses, hobbies, summer work, or other similar experiences.
2. The work need not be original in content since the primary function of the Student prize paper contest is to improve the student's communication skills. The work should, however, be original in treatment and concise in coverage of the author's contribution to the subject.

F. Written Preparation

1. All papers must be typewritten, double-spaced on one side only of eight and one-half by eleven-inch paper. An equation or symbol that cannot be typed may be written in.
2. The pages of the paper must be numbered consecutively. The Introduction, Body, Conclusion, Tables, and Diagrams may not exceed 15 pages while the above sections with the Appendices may not exceed 20 pages.
3. In general, the contents of a Student prize paper shall be organized as follows:
 - (a) Removable flyleaf page: Since the judges must handle the papers without knowledge of the identity of the author or his school, it is required that the paper itself show no identification other than the title. The title, name of the author, school name, Branch Counselor's name, author's IEEE member number, and his current address must be shown on a flyleaf which can be removed.
 - (b) Title page: On the title page, only the title of the paper should appear. The title should consist of the minimum number of key words necessary to portray accurately the contents of the paper. Reader interest is stimulated by a well-chosen title. The author's name should **NOT** appear on the title page, nor should any other persons or schools.
 - (c) Table of Contents: The table of contents should consist of a list of the parts of the paper and the page numbers, in order in which they occur.
 - (d) Abstract: The abstract should not describe the paper, but should give, in brief, the essential facts of its contents; for example, a brief of the problem or objective and a concise summary of the results or conclusion, touching upon methods or other details only if they are unique or if they are of some particular significance. The abstract should be no longer than 100 words.

- (e) Introduction: The introductions should lead to the development of the subject so that the reader may obtain a clear understanding of the significance of the paper or article prepared. This can often be done by briefly giving the state of the art as background and then by bringing out the added advantages of the method of approach and emphasizing the importance of the results or conclusions.
- (f) Body: To assist the judges in maintaining objectivity, all mention of the author's name and school should be restricted to a single introductory page. Thus, no mention of the author's name or school should be made in the article. Any references to the author's school should read "the university" without giving the actual name. The main argument of the subject is carried out in the body of the paper, complete with supporting data. The argument should proceed in a logical sequence according to a prepared outline. The writing should be in the third person. Support data and results can be presented most effectively as curves, charts, or tables.
- (g) Standard graphical symbols and abbreviations should be used on all drawings. (Ref. "Graphic Symbols for Electrical and Electronic Diagrams," IEEE STD 315.) Well known abbreviations may be used in the text but should be defined where used the first time followed by the abbreviation in parentheses. Generally the use of abbreviations should be confined to tables and illustrations. Illustrations and tables should supplement, not duplicate, text materials; likewise, they should complement, not duplicate each other.
- (h) Conclusion: The conclusions are often considered the most important part of a paper. They should be stated concisely in a separate section at the end of the paper. If there are three or more conclusions, better emphasis can be obtained by numbering each conclusion and setting it off in a separate paragraph.
- (i) Tables: Generally, each table should be typed on a separate sheet and numbered consecutively using Roman numerals: Table I, Table II. Small tabulations or listings may be made in the text where necessary for continuity. Each table should be titled by giving the brief description as a heading following the table number at the top. Ditto marks should not be used in tabled, but brackets may be used to group information on several lines.
- (j) Figures: Figures should be numbered consecutively using Arabic numerals: Figure 1; Figure 2, etc. Three types of figures may be used: photographs, oscillograms, and line drawings. The reading material on illustrations should be kept to a minimum. In short, the reading material should be included in the captions. Portions of the illustrations may be identified by letters and explained in the captions. Whenever feasible, several curves should be combined on the same coordinates. Their identifying letters or numbers should be in clear spaces between cross section lines. Readers generally prefer having the figures distributed through the article, although it is also permissible to bind them together at the end.
- (k) Appendices: Detailed mathematical proofs, development of equations and examples which are subordinate to the main argument in the body of the paper, but not essential to following the argument, should be treated in the appendices. Main equations as they are developed should be numbered consecutively, with the number in the right margin. The equations, figures, and tables in the Appendices should be numbered consecutively following the numbers used for the equations, figures, and tables in the text (such as, if table IV were last in the text, table V would be first in the Appendices.)
- (l) References: To enable the reader to consult important works used by the author incidental to the preparation of his manuscript and other related literature which might be helpful, a suitable reference list should be appended. References should be numbered consecutively and should follow the form shown below:

For a periodical: R.N. Hall, "Power Rectifiers and transformers," Proc. IRE, Vol. 40, pp. 1515-1518, November 1952.

For a book: W.A. Edison, "Vacuum Tube Oscillators," John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, New York, pp. 170-171, 1948.

For an article: B. Lawrence, B.H. Weil, and M.H. Graham, "Making online search available in a industrial research environment," Journal of the American Society for Information Science, pp. 364-369, Nov-Dec. 1974.

4. The Contest Chair of each contest shall determine the number of copies of each paper that shall be submitted for entry in the contest.
5. Regional winners will receive further information concerning the required format of papers for publication in the *IEEE Potentials Magazine*.

G. Oral Presentation

1. Fifteen (15) minutes shall be allotted for the oral presentation and five (5) minutes for questions from the audience. This is subject to change depending on the number of participants.
2. The paper contest chairman shall arrange a timing system, with the following characteristics:
 - (a) A Signal will be given at the beginning of the oral presentation.
 - (b) A warning signal will be given at the end of thirteen (13) minutes.
 - (c) A stop signal will be given at the end of fifteen (15) minutes.
 - (d) The contest should cease talking when the stop signal is given. The contest judges will assess penalties for running overtime.
 - (e) The contestant will be stopped by the judges at the end of twenty (20) minutes if he continues past the stop signal.
 - (f) In addition to the fifteen and five minutes periods, the judges shall be given up to ten (10) minutes to complete their evaluations between presentations.
3. Individuals asking questions during the discussion period shall state their name and affiliation. If the audience does not present any questions, the judges should do so. Questions will be stopped at the end of five (5) minutes.
4. Demonstration or display apparatus may not be employed as a part of the contest presentation. Visual aids such as slides, placards, charts, view graph pictures and motion picture films may be used.
5. Each contestant is responsible for making arrangements with the paper contest chair for audio-visual equipment if needed.

H. Judging

Papers will be evaluated and judged on the basis of twenty equally weighted judging criteria. Evaluation and judging is based on 55 percent given to the written presentation and 45 percent weight given to the oral presentation.

(Note that 65 percent of the judging criteria is related to the student's written and verbal skills, emphasizing that the paper contest's primary function is to improve an engineering student's communication abilities.)

The judging criterion is located on page 6 and 7 of this document.

Contact Information

SAC 2005 Paper Competition Coordinator

Amy Vanderslice

Email: amvanderslice@ieee.org

Phone: 267-481-4070

Region 2 Student Activities Chair

Dr. Shreekanth Mandayam

Email: shreek@rowan.edu

Phone: 856-256-5333

Rowan IEEE Branch Advisor

Dr. Peter Jansson

Email: jansson@rowan.edu

Phone: 856-256-5373

Mail can be sent to the following address:

*Attention: Dr. Peter Jansson
Rowan Hall, ECE Dept.
201 Mullica Hill Road
Glassboro, NJ 08028*

Last Updated: 14 September 2004

Judging Criteria Written Presentation Evaluation

Form - 35%

1. Concise, informative abstract.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2. Adequacy of introduction.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3. Logical development and analytical treatment in the body.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
4. Adequacy of conclusion.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
5. Compliance with paper contest guidelines on format.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
6. Clarity and direction in exposition.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
7. Grammar, spelling, style, and choice of words.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Score _____

Subject Matter - 20%

8. Originality of ideas, experimental procedures, processes, results, or conclusions due primarily to this author.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
9. Originality of analysis, interpretation, restatement of inference based upon the work of others. (If the paper and its contents are entirely the work of the author, enter #8 score into #9.)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
10. Quality and level of technical social or management content. Appropriateness, interest and importance.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11. Factual and technical accuracy.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Written Score _____

Judging Criteria Oral Presentation Evaluation

Form - 30%

12. Organization--has introduction, body and conclusions with transitions between each.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
13. Logical development.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
14. Poise, eye contact, platform manners.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
15. Grammar, fluency, and choice of words.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
16. Clarity and directness in exposition.			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	9	10								
17. Use of graphic aids.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Score _____

Subject Matter - 15%

18. Apparent technical and factual accuracy and grasp of the subject.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
19. Use of examples and analogies.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
20. Discussion--judges should be prepared to stimulate discussion.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Score _____

Written Score _____

Total Oral and Written Score _____

- 1) Each of the above twenty categories is scored between 1 and 10. Accordingly the following guidelines should be helpful:
 - 1** - Maybe some one should suggest that he/she change his/her major.
 - 2** - Did he even think about his point?
 - 3** - Two more tries might have helped.
 - 4** - Needs some polish to smooth the rough spots.
 - 5** - Not bad.
 - 6** - What is expected of someone at this level?
 - 7** - Very Smooth.
 - 8** - The individual must have put special emphasis on the area.
 - 9** - So logical and correct that the words seemed to form in the mind as the contestant spoke them.
 - 10** - What Moses felt on Mt. Sinai.
- 2) There shall be five (5) to seven (7) judges. The use of the same judges for both the Written and Oral presentations is optional but encouraged.
- 3) The judges shall be selected to represent a cross section of various disciplines in electrical, electronics and related fields of engineering. The Section and Regional SAC should be called on to assist in the selection of judges at all levels of the paper contest.
- 4) The judges should have a record of experience in written and oral communication of ideas.