

PROCESS A

NON-GENERAL EDUCATION CURRICULUM PROPOSAL

SCC #02-03-

MAR 12 2003

Deadlines:

Regular proposals: October 18, 2002 to be implemented Fall 2003; Short-Term proposals: December 6, 2002 to be implemented Fall 2003
Regular proposals: February 14, 2003 to be implemented Spring 2004; March 21, 2003 short-term courses to be implemented Spring 2004

PROPOSAL TITLE: Course Proposal: Introduction to Object Oriented Programming

Sponsor(s): Stephen J. Hartley E-Mail: hartley@uconn Ext: 3895
and the E-Mail: _____ Ext: _____
Computer Science E-Mail: _____ Ext: _____
Dept. E-Mail: _____ Ext: _____

DEPARTMENT: Computer Science

COLLEGE: Liberal Arts and Sciences

If Liberal Arts & Sciences CHECK : History/Humanities Math/Sciences Social/Behavioral Sciences

UNDERGRADUATE GRADUATE

THE ATTACHED **NON-GEN-ED** PROPOSAL IS BEST DESCRIBED BY THE ITEM(S) CHECKED.

- New non-gen-ed course
- Short-term non-gen-ed course
- Minor curricular changes (fewer than three)
- Existing non-gen-ed course
- Non-gen-ed degree requirements
- Major
- Minor, specialization, concentration, track, certificate program

The following signatures REPRESENT APPROVAL

Department Chair: [Signature] Date: 7/2/02

Department Curriculum Chair: [Signature] Date: 2 July 2002

Academic Dean: [Signature] Date: 7-2-02

College Curriculum Chair: [Signature] Date: 12-13-02

College Curriculum Committee OPEN HEARING Date: 12-13-02 Approved Not Approved

UNIVERSITY CURRICULUM COMMITTEE

Senate Curriculum Chair Signature: [Signature] Date: Senate Announcement/Vote: _____

Comments: _____

* EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT/PROVOST Signature: [Signature] Date: 5/3/03

Approved for three years. Must review for impact on other majors.
 Approved ~ Not Approved due to the following: Student Cr Hrs Faculty Load Hrs Equalized Cr Hrs

REGISTRAR

Date: 6/3/03 Course Description Received & Approved ~ Hegis Taxonomy & Course #: 0704113

Registrar Signature: [Signature]

NOTIFICATION FORWARD

SCC Chair Academic Dean Department Chair Registrar _____ Sponsor(s)

P. JE, Admissions
mailed: Schmelz, Small, Fax Brent, Mummert 6/10/03 TM see

Rowan University
LIBRARY RESOURCES
 to

SUPPORT A NEW COURSE or NEW PROGRAM PROPOSAL

The purpose of this form is to provide a channel of communication between the library and faculty designing new courses/programs. The information will be used to assess the resources available in the library, and to identify resources the library should acquire to support the course/program. The information will also provide rationale for institutional support for library acquisitions

This form should be completed in a coordinated effort between the course sponsor(s) and the academic department liaison librarian.

- The sponsor(s) complete parts A & D
 If assistance is required to complete parts A & D, please notify the liaison librarian.
- Forward this form to the librarian who will complete parts B, C, & E

This form must be completed and attached to the original curriculum proposal before being approved by the Senate Curriculum Committee

A. College Liberal Arts & Sciences Department Computer Science

Proposed by: Stephen J. Hartley Date: 13 June 2002

Course Title: 1. Java for Object Oriented Programmers
2. Introduction to Object Oriented Programming
3. Object Oriented Programming and Data Abstraction

Anticipated Date for Course/Program Offering: Fall 2003

ext.
3895

- B. Describe the resources available in the library to support this course/program, including reference, monographic, electronic databases, audio-visual materials, etc. A summary statement is sufficient. *A subject search of our catalogue lists about 57 entries for Java Computer Program language, 3 for Data Abstraction and 76 for Object-oriented methods. The Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) database is available from our Web sight.*
- C. List key periodicals available in the library to support this course/program.
See attached "EBSCO SUBSCRIPTION SERVICES" for list of titles received by Campbell library.
- D. List specific resources that should be acquired to support this course.
Books about the Java programming language at all levels: introductory, intermediate, and advanced

E. Librarian comments and recommendations:

We may need to add some resources for "Data Abstraction" however this can be done using the normal ordering cycles. No additional funds will be required. John Fullen

Proposal For A New Non-General Education Course: Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming

1. Details

a. Course Title

0704.113 Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming.

b. Sponsor

Stephen J. Hartley and the Computer Science Department.

c. Semester Hours

4 semester hours (lecture with lab). For faculty, the course counts as 5 load hours of teaching.

d. Course Level

Freshman.

e. Prerequisites

Formal and declared status as a Computer Science major or minor or permission of instructor. No programming or computer science experience is required. Students should have sufficient facility with high-school mathematics to solve simple linear equations and to appreciate the use of mathematical notation and formalism, such as gained from 1701.121 Intermediate Algebra or the high-school equivalent.

f. Time and Scale of Implementation

Effective fall 2003 for all entering Computer Science majors and minors. This is one year before the high schools switch to Java for the Computer Science Advanced Placement 'A' and 'B' exams, which will be based on Java starting spring 2004.

g. Curricular Effect

The course proposed here and the proposed new course 0704.114 Object-Oriented Programming and Data Abstraction¹ will replace the three courses, 0701.102 Introduction to Programming, 0704.103 Computer Science and Programming, and 0701.205 Computer Laboratory Techniques in the Computer Science major and minor degree programs.

The courses 0701.102 Introduction to Programming and 0704.103 Computer Science and Programming will continue to be offered and taught by the Computer Science Department in their current forms. 0701.205

¹See separate new course proposal.

Computer Laboratory Techniques, however, will be eliminated as a required course for the Computer Science major and minor².

Currently, Computer Science students with no previous programming experience take Introduction to Programming as a free elective, followed by Computer Science and Programming. The effect of this proposal is that Computer Science students with no previous Java programming experience will take Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming and Object-Oriented Programming and Data Abstraction as required courses for the major and minor. Students with sufficient previous Java programming experience will not be required to take Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming. Sufficient previous Java programming experience will be determined by a score of 4 or 5 on the **Java-based** Computer Science AP 'A' Exam in high school. The number of semester hours required for the Computer Science major will increase from 120 to 121.

The reason for not switching the languages used in the Introduction to Programming and Computer Science and Programming courses from Visual Basic/C++ to Java is that the students who will still be taking those courses, students not majoring or minoring in Computer Science, are better served, in the opinion of the Computer Science faculty, by the Visual Basic/C++ languages because those languages are more widely used in these students' curricular areas (mathematics, business information systems, the sciences, engineering).

Students who take 0704.103 Computer Science and Programming and who later decide to major or minor in Computer Science or who wish to take upper division Computer Science courses will need to take the one semester-hour bridge course 0704.112 Java for Object-Oriented Programmers³.

h. Adequacy of Resources

Because Computer Science majors and minors will no longer be taking Introduction to Programming and Computer Science and Programming, fewer sections of those courses will be needed. This, together with the elimination of the Computer Laboratory Techniques course from the major and minor degree programs, will provide adequate faculty, classroom, equipment, and laboratory resources to offer Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming and Object-Oriented Programming and Data Abstraction.

i. Library Resources

Library resources are adequate.

2. Rationale

The College Board has decided to change the language used in the high school computer science advanced placement exams from C++ to (a subset of) Java, effective with the 2003-2004 academic year⁴.

"In 1999, the College Board appointed an AP Computer Science Ad Hoc Committee to address the future of AP Computer Science (APCS). Their charge was to make recommendations to the AP Computer Science Development Committee on the future direction of AP Computer Science, including recommendations for changes in the APCS curriculum, and to explore alternative delivery languages. These recommendations were to be based, in part, on a survey of college departments conducted in summer 1999 to see what language was likely to be used in the introductory computer science courses in the next three to four years.

²See separate curriculum change proposal.

³See separate new course proposal.

⁴http://cbweb2s.collegeboard.org/ap/pdf/adhoc_report_surveys.pdf

“After four meetings, and after considering the surveys conducted in 1999 and 2000 as well as survey results published in the Journal of Computer Science Education (Stephenson and West 14(1), 14(2), April 2000), the Ad Hoc Committee recommends a change from an object-based to an object-oriented approach to programming. The fundamental difference is an inclusion of inheritance. As a result, we further recommend that the language supporting the curriculum be switched from C++ to Java, beginning with the 2003-2004 academic year.

“There are philosophical and pragmatic reasons for recommending these changes. The philosophical reasons are based on three principles that should be considered in choosing a language to express the concepts found in an introductory computer science curriculum: safety, simplicity, and support for object-orientation. Pragmatic reasons for changing are based primarily on the fact that colleges and universities are adopting new approaches based on the three principles. These new approaches are more natural to express in Java than in C++ for reasons described in the appendix that follows this Executive Summary.”

Although C++ is a very popular object-oriented language, widely used in the software industry, it has many “features” inherited from the C programming language, such as arbitrary pointer arithmetic and lack of array bounds checking, that are deplorable pitfalls for beginning Computer Science students. Thus, the College Board and many undergraduate Computer Science departments around the world have embraced Java as a language better suited for teaching object-oriented programming.

The Computer Science department therefore proposes to switch the language used in its introductory programming courses **for Computer Science majors and minors only** from Visual Basic/C++ to Java, no later than the fall 2003 semester, in order to accommodate the increasing proportion, eventually 100 percent, of entering first year and transfer computer science majors and minors who will have already learned some Java.

3. Essence of the Course

a. Objectives in Relation to Student Outcomes

Upon completion of Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming, students should be able to

- understand the fundamental principles of object-oriented programming: abstraction, encapsulation, inheritance, and polymorphism;
- use classes and objects;
- utilize basic data structures and control structures;
- call methods and pass parameters;
- devise strategies and algorithms for solving a problem;
- implement strategies and algorithms in a computer program;
- debug a program;
- use an editor and basic commands on a UNIX system;
- appreciate the history of computer science; and
- act ethically and responsibly as a computer professional.

b. Topical Outline/Content

This is derived from the *Computing Curriculum 2001: Computer Science* revised draft, December 1, 2001, published by the Joint Task Force on Computing Curricula of the IEEE Computer Society and the Association for Computing Machinery.

Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming.

- Introduction to the history of computer science.
- Ethics and responsibility of computer professionals.
- Introduction to computer systems and environments.
- Editing and basic commands in a UNIX system.
- Introduction to object-oriented paradigm: Abstraction; objects; classes; methods; parameter passing; encapsulation; inheritance; polymorphism.
- Fundamental programming constructs: Basic syntax and semantics of a higher-level language; variables, types, expressions, and assignment; simple I/O; conditional and iterative control structures; structured decomposition.
- Fundamental data structures: Primitive types; arrays; records; strings and string processing.
- Introduction to programming languages.
- Algorithms and problem-solving: Problem-solving strategies; the role of algorithms in the problem-solving process; implementation strategies for algorithms; debugging strategies; the concept and properties of algorithms.

c. Evaluation and Grading

Students will be evaluated by traditional methods such as homework, quizzes, written exams, and programming assignments.

d. Course Evaluation

The courses will be evaluated through customary student evaluations as well as regular departmental review.

4. Results of Consultations

a. Departments and Programs

We solicited letters of consultation from Mathematics, Management Information Systems, and Electrical/Computer Engineering. Those letters are attached.

Date: Fri, 08 Mar 2002 14:10:14 -0500
From: "Ronald Czocho" <Czocho@rowan.edu>
To: hartley@elvis.rowan.edu
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

I have a draft of a letter of consultation, but I thought I would let you know what it says before I send it to you. Let me know how you respond to the following:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the changes you have proposed in the required introductory sequence for CS majors and minors. The two courses that you propose should work well for your majors, but they will pose a problem for other students planning to minor in CS or even just take advanced courses in CS.

If, as you say in your proposal, students from other disciplines will be better served by studying Visual Basic and C++, how can you justify changing the minor, which is designed for these students, so that they do not take these languages. If Java is a better language for learning how to program a computer, then Intro to Programming should use this language as well.

I cannot support your proposed change if these courses will be required for the CS minor. In fact, since the Mathematics department has students who often want to take advanced CS courses as well, if these new courses are prerequisites for upper level courses I will not support the new courses at all.

If a change is necessary at the introductory level, I think all students would benefit from this change.

From hartley Tue Mar 12 12:22:37 2002
To: "Ronald Czocho" <Czocho@rowan.edu>
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

I have revised the proposal to address your concerns. We will also propose a new one-credit hour bridge course for students who have taken CS&P in C++ and who want to

major in computer science
minor in computer science
take advanced CS courses (but not major or minor in CS)

In your e-mail you express concern that if we change the intro courses for our majors and minors from C++ to Java, then we should do it for CS&P so that all students get Java's advantages. The computer science faculty feels that C++ in CS&P and Visual Basic in Intro to Programming are the right languages for students taking only those courses and not going further in computer science. The approach, called "objects early," that we will take in the new courses is appropriate for CS majors and minors because they will continue on to take software engineering and they need a strong dose of object-oriented design early. Students taking only CS&P and/or Intro to Prog do not need and should not have this "objects early" approach. My own personal opinion is that to try to do so would be a disaster!

I hope this addresses your concerns. Please let me know. Thanks.

Date: Tue, 12 Mar 2002 12:54:59 -0500
From: "Ronald Czocho" <Czocho@rowan.edu>
To: hartley@elvis.rowan.edu
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

Thank you for your efforts. I too think Visual basic and C++ are more

appropriate. I just wanted to make sure our majors were not prohibited from deciding to take a minor or co-major after having taken Intro. Your bridge course takes care of my objection and I'll try to get a positive letter to you about the new proposal soon.

From hartley Wed Apr 3 16:06:15 2002
To: lee@rowan.edu
Subject: letter of consultation

We need a letter of consultation from MIS for the following related curriculum proposals. They are available in the openarea.

openarea/cs/hartley/curriculumcommittee/2002

cs1NewCourse.pdf	New course proposal: Introduction to OO Programming
cs1cs2Changes.pdf	Changes to major requirements involving the new courses
cs1cs2Minors.pdf	Changes to minor requirements involving the new courses
JOOP.doc	New course proposal: C++ to Java bridge course
cs2NewCourse.pdf	New course proposal: OO Programming and Data Abstraction

The proposals are also accessible over the Web at

<http://users.rowan.edu/~hartley/cs1NewCourse.pdf>
<http://users.rowan.edu/~hartley/cs1cs2Changes.pdf>
<http://users.rowan.edu/~hartley/cs1cs2Minors.pdf>
<http://users.rowan.edu/~hartley/cs2NewCourse.pdf>

Thank you for your help.

From hamilton@rowan.edu Thu Apr 4 13:21:16 2002
Date: Thu, 04 Apr 2002 13:18:58 -0500
From: "Diane Hamilton" <hamilton@rowan.edu>
To: <hartley@elvis.rowan.edu>
Cc: <eze@groupwise.rowan.edu>, <frimpong@groupwise.rowan.edu>, "Jooh Lee" <lee@groupwise.rowan.edu>, <turnerb@groupwise.rowan.edu>
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

Is there any chance you would consider allowing an MIS major to enroll in these two new courses as electives AFTER having completed CS&P? (The prerequisite as stated would preclude this. Perhaps there could be some grade restriction, i.e., MIS student earning at least a "B" in CS&P). It could be a valuable sequence of electives for a strong MIS student who is ultimately interested in e-commerce.

From hartley Thu Apr 4 13:32:41 2002
To: "Diane Hamilton" <hamilton@rowan.edu>
Subject: Re: letter of consultation
Cc: davis@rowan.edu

I think we could do that on a space available basis: an MIS student who has taken CS&P and wants to go further would first take the one-credit bridge course 0704.112 Java for Object-Oriented Programmers and then take 0704.114 Object-Oriented-Programming and Data Abstraction. CS&P and the bridge course would be roughly equivalent to 0704.113 Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming.

From hamilton@rowan.edu Thu Apr 4 15:07:14 2002

Date: Thu, 04 Apr 2002 15:04:42 -0500
From: "Diane Hamilton" <hamilton@rowan.edu>
To: <hartley@elvis.rowan.edu>
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

What do you think the chances are that there would be 3-4 seats available in any given year (that's probably all that would have the interest).

From hartley Thu Apr 4 15:24:48 2002
To: "Diane Hamilton" <hamilton@rowan.edu>
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

I think the chances for that are very good. Signing a few override slips is always an option if a class is full. And who wouldn't want a few additional interested, motivated, and skilled students in their class, whatever the student's major?

From hamilton@rowan.edu Mon Apr 8 14:00:45 2002
Date: Mon, 08 Apr 2002 13:58:16 -0400
From: "Diane Hamilton" <hamilton@rowan.edu>
To: <hartley@elvis.rowan.edu>
Cc: "Daniel Davis" <davis@groupwise.rowan.edu>, <eze@groupwise.rowan.edu>
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

Jooh Lee (dept chair) has suggested that I reply to your request for consultation (on curriculum changes in process) on behalf of the MIS faculty. We have no problems with your course proposals, in light of your agreeing to admit some of our better programmers, should they be interested in the sequence as you outlined below. We will put a proposal through (probably in the fall) that amends our current list of approved electives, to include the courses as listed below - only for students who attain a "B" or better in Computer Science and Programming. Thanks.

From hartley Mon May 13 15:25:47 2002
To: schmalzel@rowan.edu
Subject: Re: consult IOOP, OOPDA
Cc: polikar@rowan.edu
Status: R

>Existing major track. The current requirements in the ECE major include:

>
> Computer Science and Programming (CS&P),
> Data Structures for Engineers, and
> Software Engineering.
>

>From the current proposals, it appears that this pre-existing sequence
>will remain available. In particular, it means that CS&P remains as one
>of the possible prerequisites for Data Structures for Engineers, and
>Data Structures for Engineers remains as one of the possible
>prerequisites for Software Engineering. Thus, this track means that
>no change is required for the ECE program of study.

This is correct.

>Current Minor. For ECE students, the current CS minor requirements --- that
>are in addition to the standard CS course loading --- include:
>

> Data Structures and Algorithms
> (instead of Data Structures for Engineers),
> Computer Lab Techniques, and
> Discrete Mathematics.
>
>This 7-SCH minor sequence will still be available for some time in the
>future. We need clarification so that we can properly advise students as
>to the timetable.

Discrete Mathematics is being replaced with Discrete Structures,
effective fall 2002 or Spring 2003 (I'll have to check which since I
don't remember).

Other than replacing Discrete Math with Discrete Structures, the above
minor will remain available for some number of semesters. The key here
is the Computer Lab Techniques course. Our proposals call for replacing
CS&P and Computer Lab Techniques (7 credit hours) with IOOP and OOPDA (8
credit hours) in the major and minor requirements, effective fall 2003
(CS&P and the 1 credit hour Java "bridge" course can be taken instead
of IOOP). When fall 2003 arrives, many students will still be eligible
to follow the pre-fall 2003 requirements: all those students who have
formally and officially declared their status as a Computer Science
major or minor prior to fall 2003. As long as any of those students
need to take Computer Lab Techniques, we will continue to offer it.
You will need to advise your students who want to minor in Computer
Science that the requirements will change fall 2003 and that if they
prefer the pre-fall 2003 requirements, they will need to declare formally
and officially before fall 2003.

>Updated Minor-Rev A. From your proposal, it appears that the future ECE
>student who wishes to complete the CS minor could need to take:

>
> The 1-hour bridge course, 0704.112,
> Java for Object-Oriented Programmers,
> Data Structures and Algorithms
> OOPDA
> Discrete Mathematics
>
> This represents a 9-SCH minor requirement.

This is correct for students who formally and officially declare a
Computer Science minor fall 2003 or later and who have already taken CS&P
(other than the aforementioned Discrete Structures change).

>Updated Minor-Rev B. Alternatively, it appears that a future ECE student
>who wishes to complete the CS minor could also take IOOP instead of
>CS&P if the ECE program were to allow this substitution:

>
> IOOP (instead of CS&P)
> Data Structures and Algorithms
> OOPDA
> Discrete Mathematics

If you can leave your ECE major requirements as they are now, then any of
your students who declare a CS minor fall 2003 or later will take CS&P,
the one credit hour Java "bridge" course, Data Structures and Algorithms,
OOPDA, and Discrete Structures.

On the other hand, if you change the ECE major requirements so that IOOP replaces CS&P effective fall 2003 (or so that either CS&P or IOOP can be taken), then ECE students who declare a CS minor fall 2003 or later will take IOOP, OOPDA, Data Structures and Algorithms, and Discrete Structures.

From hartley Fri Dec 13 14:23:32 2002
To: Czocho@rowan.edu
Subject: letter of consult for the Java stuff

I know it is a pain in the butt to provide letters of consult, but the Provost is going to hold up the Java stuff until you provide a formal letter of consultation.

I appreciate it and I will do my best to practice the Golden Rule.

b. Campus Expertise

The sponsor does not know of any expertise in the subject area outside the Computer Science department.

5. Additional Information

None.

6. Catalog Description

This is derived from the *Computing Curriculum 2001: Computer Science* revised draft, December 1, 2001, published by the Joint Task Force on Computing Curricula of the IEEE Computer Society and the Association for Computing Machinery.

0704.113 Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming. 4 s. h.

(Prerequisites: Formal and declared status as a Computer Science major or minor or permission of instructor. 1701.121 Intermediate Algebra or the high-school equivalent.)

Introduces the fundamental concepts of programming from an object-oriented perspective. Topics include simple data types, control structures, an introduction to array and string data structures and algorithms, as well as debugging techniques and the social implications of computing. The course emphasizes modern software engineering principles and developing fundamental programming skills in the context of a language that supports the object-oriented paradigm. Includes basic UNIX commands and editing.

0704.113

4 s. h.

Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming

(Prerequisites: formal and declared status as a Computer Science major or minor or permission of instructor, 1701.121 or the high-school equivalent)

Introduces the fundamental concepts of programming from an object-oriented perspective. Topics include simple data types, control structures, an introduction to array and string data structures and algorithms, as well as debugging techniques and the social implications of computing. The course emphasizes modern software engineering principles and developing fundamental programming skills in the context of a language that supports the object-oriented paradigm. Includes basic UNIX commands and editing.



Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

28 APR 2002

MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. S. Hartley
Computer Science

FR: J. Schmalzel
Professor & Chair ECE Department

RE: Course Proposal: "0704.113, Intro to Object-Oriented Programming (IOOP)"
Course Proposal: "0704.114, Object-Oriented Programming and Data Abstraction (OOPDA)"
Minor Curriculum Change Proposal

1. Thank you for the opportunity to perform a review of the proposed changes you have recommended for your program of study as well as changes to the minor requirements. We are always interested in seeing what the CS Department is proposing, since our program is but one of several that relies on your department for specific content.

2. Regarding changing the programming language from C++ to Java, we support your efforts to make the adaptations you feel are needed to maintain currency and vitality in the essential core of your curriculum. Your proposals point out the desirability of maintaining service courses that preserve C++ content for engineering. Currently, we see several tracks for consideration:

- Existing major track. The current requirements in the ECE major include: "Computer Science and Programming (CS&P)," "Data Structures for Engineers," and "Software Engineering." From the current proposals, it appears that this pre-existing sequence will remain available. In particular, it means that "CS&P" remains as one of the possible prerequisites for "Data Structures for Engineers," and "Data Structures for Engineers" remains as one of the possible prerequisites for "Software Engineering." Thus, this track means that *no change is required for the ECE program of study.*
- Current Minor. For ECE students, the current CS minor requirements—that are in addition to the standard CS course loading—include:

"Data Structures and Algorithms" (instead of "Data Structures for Engineers"),
"Computer Lab Techniques," and
"Discrete Mathematics."

This 7-SCH minor sequence will still be available for some time in the future—we *need clarification so that we can properly advise students as to the timetable.*

In the limit, as the new courses (IOOP and OOPDA) come on line in F'03, the requirements for the minor will change. To this end, once we understand the transition time between old and new requirements, we will then need to know the different ways an ECE student can handle the mix of pre-existing and new courses.

- Updated Minor-Rev A. From your proposal, it appears that the future ECE student who wishes to complete the CS minor could need to take:

The 1-hour bridge course, "0704.112, Java for Object-Oriented Programmers,"
"Data Structures and Algorithms"
"OOPDA"
"Discrete Mathematics"

This represents a 9-SCH minor requirement.

- Updated Minor-Rev B. Alternatively, it appears that a future ECE student who wishes to complete the CS minor could also take "IOOP" instead of "CS&P" if the ECE program were to allow this substitution:

"IOOP" (instead of "CS&P")
"Data Structures and Algorithms"
"OOPDA"
"Discrete Mathematics"

This represents a 7-SCH minor requirement equal to the current minor SCH requirements; however, this requires that we handle this change as a change in requirement to the freshman-level curriculum. There may very well be a consensus within both ECE and the College of Engineering that shifting to Java does not substantially detract from the original C++ orientation (due to the fact that C++ can be seen as substantially a subset of Java).


3. In summary, it appears that you have thought this change through carefully and have the best interests of your students in mind. Providing us with detailed clarification about the phasing of these curricular changes will better help us provide the best possible advice to our students as well as help us determine whether or not we wish to change some of the CS components of the ECE curriculum to align them more with your program.



*College of Business
Department Of Management &
Management Information Systems*

MEMORDANDUM

To: Seth Bergman, Computer Science Department
Stephen Hartley, Computer Science Department

From: Daniel Davis, Management/MIS, College of Business 

Date: March 30, 2002

Subject: Evaluation of Course Proposal: Java for Object Oriented Programmers
Evaluation of Course Proposal: Object Oriented Programming and Data Abstraction

The Java for Object Oriented Programmers is an excellent course for students needing a transition from C++ to Java. Since C++ and Java are quite similar in syntax, this transition course would emphasize the differences, acquaint students with an Integrated Development Environment (IDE) for Rapid Applications Development (RAD), and teach the important Java Class Libraries that are unique to Java. After taking this course, students with a programming background in C++ would be prepared to take sophomore level courses without requiring a review of Java fundamentals in advanced courses in the Computer Science Department.

Object Oriented Programming and Data Abstraction is also a welcome addition to the Computer Science Department as the first course for CS majors and minors. As stated above, moving to Java will give the CS majors the tools they need to be competitive in developing business systems.

As a member of the Management Information Systems Department in the College of Business, I applaud the Computer Science Department's move from C++ to Java. I encourage MIS majors to take additional courses in the Java language to prepare themselves for information systems careers. Rowan now joins all other colleges in the area in teaching Java in their core courses. I have been teaching Java since 1997 and have seen students acquire very competitive employment with Java skills. I refer you to the Rowan Magazine's article on exception Rowan graduates -- specifically Paul Parkinson.

His six figure salary is the result of his knowledge of Java (acquired at Gloucester County College in 1997.)

I strongly urge the Computer Science and Programming course to switch to Java. This course will now be taken by business majors, engineering majors, and math majors. Java is the language for business – not C++. Is a course for engineering students also meeting the needs for information systems majors in the College of Business? MIS students should be instructed in IDEs, transactional processing into an enterprise level database, and GUI development.

I encourage the MIS faculty to learn Java and object oriented programming concepts and integrate these concepts into the MIS program. I encourage our faculty to integrate Unified Modeling Language (UML) into the Systems Analysis and Design course, integrate Java stored procedures and triggers into the Advanced Database course, and encourage our faculty to integrate XML and Web Services in relation to Java into the Seminar in MIS course such as DOM, SAX, and SOAP. Java is certainly the language of choice for the information systems professional. Information systems majors need to know Java to development ecommerce web sites using Java Server Pages (JSP), Java Servlets, Enterprise Java Beans (EJB), database connectivity using JDBC, web services development, application server development, geographical information systems development etc.

Rowan University
LIBRARY RESOURCES
to

SUPPORT A NEW COURSE or NEW PROGRAM PROPOSAL

The purpose of this form is to provide a channel of communication between the library and faculty designing new courses/programs. The information will be used to assess the resources available in the library, and to identify resources the library should acquire to support the course/program. The information will also provide rationale for institutional support for library acquisitions

This form should be completed in a coordinated effort between the course sponsor(s) and the academic department liaison librarian.

- The sponsor(s) complete parts A & D
If assistance is required to complete parts A & D, please notify the liaison librarian.
- Forward this form to the librarian who will complete parts B, C, & E

This form must be completed and attached to the original curriculum proposal before being approved by the Senate Curriculum Committee

A. College Liberal Arts & Sciences Department Computer Science

Proposed by: Stephen J. Hartley Date: 13 June 2002

Course Title: 1. Java for Object Oriented Programmers
2. Introduction to Object Oriented Programming
3. Object Oriented Programming and Data Abstraction

Anticipated Date for Course/Program Offering: Fall 2003

ext.
389.5

B. Describe the resources available in the library to support this course/program, including reference, monographic, electronic databases, audio-visual materials, etc. A summary statement is sufficient. *A subject search of our catalogue lists about 57 entries for Java Computer Program language, 3 for Data Abstraction and 76 for Object-oriented methods. The Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) database is available from our Web sight.*

C. List key periodicals available in the library to support this course/program.
See attached "EBSCO SUBSCRIPTION SERVICES" for list of titles received by Campbell library.

D. List specific resources that should be acquired to support this course.
Books about the Java programming language at all levels: introductory, intermediate, and advanced

E. Librarian comments and recommendations:
We may need to add some resources for "Data Abstraction" however this can be done using the normal ordering cycles. No additional funds will be required. John P. Miller



Mathematics Department

MEMO

TO: Stephen J. Hartley
Computer Science Department

FROM: Ron Czocho, Chair
Mathematics Dept

DATE: December 19, 2002

RE: Addendum to previous consultation on proposed changes to CS courses

In my previous communication (3/8/02), I stated the following:

If, as you say in your proposal, students from other disciplines will be better served by studying Visual Basic and C++, how can you justify changing the minor, which is designed for these students, so that they do not take these languages. If Java is a better language for learning how to program a computer, then Intro to Programming should use this language as well.

I cannot support your proposed change if these courses will be required for the CS minor. In fact, since the Mathematics department has students who often want to take advanced CS courses as well, if these new courses are prerequisites for upper level courses I will not support the new courses at all.

If a change is necessary at the introductory level, I think all students would benefit from this change.

With the addition of the new 1 SH bridge course in Java, I can see that our math majors who want to minor in CS will be able to do this with little inconvenience. Those of our majors with an interest in CS from the beginning could easily be advised to take the new course rather than Intro to Programming. I now support the changes.

524

ROWAN UNIVERSITY SENATE
CURRICULUM COMMITTEE OPEN HEARING
WORKSHEET

Date: 12/13/02

PROPOSAL SCC# 02-03-207

TITLE: Intro to Object-Oriented Programming

Sponsors in Attendance: Stephen Hartley

- _____ Pass with NO CHANGES
- X _____ Passed – Return to Sponsor for MINOR CHANGES
- _____ Tabled w/SUGGESTED MINOR CHANGES
- _____ NOT APPROVED

HEARING SUMMARY:

Positive letter from Coache will be forthcoming

Changing credit hours to 3.0

Changing "good" in catalog description

Adding "permission with from the instructor" to catalog prereqs

library forms attached (original should be in Senate Office w/ original proposal)

*Helen's
memo 10/27/03*

Thank you for your response. I will take your comments under advisement. It appears that given the movements in Java versus C++, this may be a timing issue with the disciplines considering the need to move or not to move to Java in the future. I appreciate your consideration of this possibility and the impact on offerings within Computer Science at a future time.

JM - 6/10/03

>>> "Stephen J. Hartley" <hartley@elvis.rowan.edu> 10/27/03 01:12PM >>>
MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Helen Giles-Gee
Provost

FROM: Prof. Stephen J. Hartley
Chair, Curriculum Committee
Computer Science Department

RE: Curriculum Proposal SCC #02-03-804
0704.113 Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming

DATE: October 27, 2003


In your May 7, 2003, memo to Prof. Phillip Lewis, Chair, Senate Curriculum Committee, about the above-named course, you expressed the concern, "movement to Java required by College Board, why won't other majors move to Java? Has the sciences been consulted?"

We are waiting to see how widespread the use of Java outside of Computer Science becomes. Currently, the C++ programming language is still used more in the "real world" than Java, although the gap is narrowing. Therefore, we think it is appropriate to keep 0704.103 Computer Science and Programming as a C++ course for the sciences and engineering. If those departments want a Java course instead in the future, we will certainly accommodate them.

For reference, I include the following quote from the curriculum proposal.

The reason for not switching the languages used in the Introduction to Programming and Computer Science and Programming courses from Visual Basic/C++ to Java is that the students who will still be taking those courses, students not majoring or minoring in Computer Science, are better served, in the opinion of the Computer Science faculty, by the Visual Basic/C++ languages because those languages are more widely used in these students' curricular areas (mathematics, business information systems, the sciences, engineering).

From hartley Mon Oct 27 13:12:15 2003
To: giles-gee@rowan.edu
Subject: hardcopy to follow
Status: RO
Content-Length: 1627



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Helen Giles-Gee
Provost

FROM: Prof. Stephen J. Hartley
Chair, Curriculum Committee
Computer Science Department

SJH

RE: Curriculum Proposals SCC #02-03-804
0704.113 Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming

DATE: October 27, 2003

In your May 7, 2003, memo to Prof. Phillip Lewis, Chair, Senate Curriculum Committee, about the above-named course, you expressed the concern, ``movement to Java required by College Board, why won't other majors move to Java? Has the sciences been consulted?''

We are waiting to see how widespread the use of Java outside of Computer Science becomes. Currently, the C++ programming language is still used more in the ``real world'' than Java, although the gap is narrowing. Therefore, we think it is appropriate to keep 0704.103 Computer Science and Programming as a C++ course for the sciences and engineering. If those departments want a Java course instead in the future, we will certainly accommodate them.

For reference, I include the following quote from the curriculum proposal.

The reason for not switching the languages used in the Introduction to Programming and Computer Science and Programming courses from Visual Basic/C++ to Java is that the students who will still be taking those courses, students not majoring or minoring in Computer Science, are better served, in the opinion of the Computer Science faculty, by the Visual Basic/C++ languages because those languages are more widely used in these students' curricular areas (mathematics, business information systems, the sciences, engineering).

Rowan University
LIBRARY RESOURCES
 to

SUPPORT A NEW COURSE or NEW PROGRAM PROPOSAL

The purpose of this form is to provide a channel of communication between the library and faculty designing new courses/programs. The information will be used to assess the resources available in the library, and to identify resources the library should acquire to support the course/program. The information will also provide rationale for institutional support for library acquisitions

This form should be completed in a coordinated effort between the course sponsor(s) and the academic department liaison librarian.

- The sponsor(s) complete parts A & D
 If assistance is required to complete parts A & D, please notify the liaison librarian.
- Forward this form to the librarian who will complete parts B, C, & E

This form must be completed and attached to the original curriculum proposal before being approved by the Senate Curriculum Committee

A. College Liberal Arts & Sciences Department Computer Science

Proposed by: Stephen J. Hartley Date: 13 June 2007

Course Title: 1. Java for Object Oriented Programmers
2. Introduction to Object Oriented Programming
3. Object Oriented Programming and Data Abstraction

Anticipated Date for Course/Program Offering: Fall 2003

B. Describe the resources available in the library to support this course/program, including reference, monographic, electronic databases, audio-visual materials, etc. A summary statement is sufficient. *A subject search of our catalogue lists about 57 entries for Java Computer Program language, 3 for Data Abstraction and 76 for Object-oriented methods. The Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) database is available from our Web sight.*

C. List key periodicals available in the library to support this course/program.
See attached "EBSCO SUBSCRIPTION SERVICES" for list of titles received by Campbell library.

D. List specific resources that should be acquired to support this course.
Books about the Java programming language at all levels: introductory, intermediate, and advanced

E. Librarian comments and recommendations:
We may need to add some resources for "Data Abstraction" however this can be done using the normal ordering cycle. No additional funds will be required. John G.ullen

ext.
3895

Date: Fri, 08 Mar 2002 14:10:14 -0500
From: "Ronald Czocho" <Czocho@rowan.edu>
T hartley@elvis.rowan.edu
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

I have a draft of a letter of consultation, but I thought I would let you know what it says before I send it to you. Let me know how you respond to the following:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the changes you have proposed in the required introductory sequence for CS majors and minors. The two courses that you propose should work well for your majors, but they will pose a problem for other students planning to minor in CS or even just take advanced courses in CS.

If, as you say in your proposal, students from other disciplines will be better served by studying Visual Basic and C++, how can you justify changing the minor, which is designed for these students, so that they do not take these languages. If Java is a better language for learning how to program a computer, then Intro to Programming should use this language as well.

I cannot support your proposed change if these courses will be required for the CS minor. In fact, since the Mathematics department has students who often want to take advanced CS courses as well, if these new courses are prerequisites for upper level courses I will not support the new courses at all.

If a change is necessary at the introductory level, I think all students would benefit from this change.

From hartley Tue Mar 12 12:22:37 2002
To: "Ronald Czocho" <Czocho@rowan.edu>
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

I have revised the proposal to address your concerns. We will also propose a new one-credit hour bridge course for students who have taken CS&P in C++ and who want to

- major in computer science
- minor in computer science
- take advanced CS courses (but not major or minor in CS)

In your e-mail you express concern that if we change the intro courses for our majors and minors from C++ to Java, then we should do it for CS&P so that all students get Java's advantages. The computer science faculty feels that C++ in CS&P and Visual Basic in Intro to Programming are the right languages for students taking only those courses and not going further in computer science. The approach, called ``objects early,'' that we will take in the new courses is appropriate for CS majors and minors because they will continue on to take software engineering and they need a strong dose of object-oriented design early. Students taking only CS&P and/or Intro to Prog do not need and should not have this ``objects early'' approach. My own personal opinion is that to try to do so would be a disaster! I hope this addresses your concerns. Please let me know. Thanks.

Date: Tue, 12 Mar 2002 12:54:59 -0500
From: "Ronald Czocho" <Czocho@rowan.edu>
To: hartley@elvis.rowan.edu
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

Thank you for your efforts. I too think Visual basic and C++ are more appropriate. I just wanted to make sure our majors were not prohibited from deciding to take a minor or co-major after having taken Intro. Your bridge course takes care of my objection and I'll try to get a positive letter to you about the new proposal soon.

From hartley Wed Apr 3 16:06:15 2002
To: lee@rowan.edu
Subject: letter of consultation

We need a letter of consultation from MIS for the following related curriculum proposals. They are available in the openarea.

openarea/cs/hartley/curriculumcommittee/2002

cs1NewCourse.pdf	New course proposal: Introduction to OO Programming
cs1cs2Changes.pdf	Changes to major requirements involving the new courses
cs1cs2Minors.pdf	Changes to minor requirements involving the new courses
JOOP.doc	New course proposal: C++ to Java bridge course
cs2NewCourse.pdf	New course proposal: OO Programming and Data Abstraction

The proposals are also accessible over the Web at

<http://users.rowan.edu/~hartley/cs1NewCourse.pdf>
<http://users.rowan.edu/~hartley/cs1cs2Changes.pdf>
<http://users.rowan.edu/~hartley/cs1cs2Minors.pdf>
<http://users.rowan.edu/~hartley/cs2NewCourse.pdf>

Thank you for your help.

From hamilton@rowan.edu Thu Apr 4 13:21:16 2002
Date: Thu, 04 Apr 2002 13:18:58 -0500
From: "Diane Hamilton" <hamilton@rowan.edu>
To: <hartley@elvis.rowan.edu>
Cc: <eze@groupwise.rowan.edu>, <frimpong@groupwise.rowan.edu>, "Jooh Lee" <lee@groupwise.rowan.edu>, <turnerb@groupwise.rowan.edu>
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

Is there any chance you would consider allowing an MIS major to enroll in these two new courses as electives AFTER having completed CS&P? (The prerequisite at stated would preclude this. Perhaps there could be some grade restriction, i.e., MIS student earning at least a "B" in CS&P). It could be a valuable sequence of electives for a strong MIS student who is ultimately interested in e-commerce.

From hartley Thu Apr 4 13:32:41 2002
To: "Diane Hamilton" <hamilton@rowan.edu>
Subject: Re: letter of consultation
Cc: davis@rowan.edu

I think we could do that on a space available basis: an MIS student who has taken CS&P and wants to go further would first take the one-credit bridge course 0704.112 Java for Object-Oriented Programmers and then take 0704.114 Object-Oriented-Programming and Data Abstraction. CS&P and the bridge course would be roughly equivalent to 0704.113 Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming.

From hamilton@rowan.edu Thu Apr 4 15:07:14 2002
Date: Thu, 04 Apr 2002 15:04:42 -0500

From: "Diane Hamilton" <hamilton@rowan.edu>
To: <hartley@elvis.rowan.edu>
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

What do you think the chances are that there would be 3-4 seats available in any given year (that's probably all that would have the interest).

From hartley Thu Apr 4 15:24:48 2002
To: "Diane Hamilton" <hamilton@rowan.edu>
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

I think the chances for that are very good. Signing a few override slips is always an option if a class is full. And who wouldn't want a few additional interested, motivated, and skilled students in their class, whatever the student's major?

From hamilton@rowan.edu Mon Apr 8 14:00:45 2002
Date: Mon, 08 Apr 2002 13:58:16 -0400
From: "Diane Hamilton" <hamilton@rowan.edu>
To: <hartley@elvis.rowan.edu>
Cc: "Daniel Davis" <davis@groupwise.rowan.edu>, <eze@groupwise.rowan.edu>
Subject: Re: letter of consultation

Jooh Lee (dept chair) has suggested that I reply to your request for consultation (on curriculum changes in process) on behalf of the MIS faculty. We have no problems with your course proposals, in light of your agreeing to admit some of our better programmers, should they be interested in the sequence as you outlined below. We will put a proposal through (probably in the fall) that amends our current list of approved electives, to include the courses as listed below - only for students who attain a "B" or better in Computer Science and Programming. Thanks.

From hartley Mon May 13 15:25:47 2002
To: schmalzel@rowan.edu
Subject: Re: consult IOOP, OOPDA
Cc: polikar@rowan.edu
Status: R

>Existing major track. The current requirements in the ECE major include:
>
> Computer Science and Programming (CS&P),
> Data Structures for Engineers, and
> Software Engineering.
>

>From the current proposals, it appears that this pre-existing sequence
>will remain available. In particular, it means that CS&P remains as one
>of the possible prerequisites for Data Structures for Engineers, and
>Data Structures for Engineers remains as one of the possible
>prerequisites for Software Engineering. Thus, this track means that
>no change is required for the ECE program of study.

This is correct.

> rrent Minor. For ECE students, the current CS minor requirements --- that
>are in addition to the standard CS course loading --- include:
>
> Data Structures and Algorithms
> (instead of Data Structures for Engineers),
> Computer Lab Techniques, and
> Discrete Mathematics.

>
>This 7-SCH minor sequence will still be available for some time in the
> future. We need clarification so that we can properly advise students as
>to the timetable.

Discrete Mathematics is being replaced with Discrete Structures,
effective fall 2002 or Spring 2003 (I'll have to check which since I
don't remember).

Other than replacing Discrete Math with Discrete Structures, the above
minor will remain available for some number of semesters. The key here
is the Computer Lab Techniques course. Our proposals call for replacing
CS&P and Computer Lab Techniques (7 credit hours) with IOOP and OOPDA (8
credit hours) in the major and minor requirements, effective fall 2003
(CS&P and the 1 credit hour Java "bridge" course can be taken instead
of IOOP). When fall 2003 arrives, many students will still be eligible
to follow the pre-fall 2003 requirements: all those students who have
formally and officially declared their status as a Computer Science
major or minor prior to fall 2003. As long as any of those students
need to take Computer Lab Techniques, we will continue to offer it.
You will need to advise your students who want to minor in Computer
Science that the requirements will change fall 2003 and that if they
prefer the pre-fall 2003 requirements, they will need to declare formally
and officially before fall 2003.

>Updated Minor-Rev A. From your proposal, it appears that the future ECE
>student who wishes to complete the CS minor could need to take:

>
> The 1-hour bridge course, 0704.112,
> Java for Object-Oriented Programmers,
> Data Structures and Algorithms
> OOPDA
> Discrete Mathematics
>
> This represents a 9-SCH minor requirement.

This is correct for students who formally and officially declare a
Computer Science minor fall 2003 or later and who have already taken CS&P
(other than the aforementioned Discrete Structures change).

>Updated Minor-Rev B. Alternatively, it appears that a future ECE student
>who wishes to complete the CS minor could also take IOOP instead of
>CS&P if the ECE program were to allow this substitution:

>
> IOOP (instead of CS&P)
> Data Structures and Algorithms
> OOPDA
> Discrete Mathematics

If you can leave your ECE major requirements as they are now, then any of
your students who declare a CS minor fall 2003 or later will take CS&P,
the one credit hour Java "bridge" course, Data Structures and Algorithms,
OOPDA, and Discrete Structures.

On the other hand, if you change the ECE major requirements so that IOOP
replaces CS&P effective fall 2003 (or so that either CS&P or IOOP can be
taken), then ECE students who declare a CS minor fall 2003 or later will
take IOOP, OOPDA, Data Structures and Algorithms, and Discrete Structures.



Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

28 APR 2002

MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. S. Hartley
Computer Science

FR: J. Schmalzel
Professor & Chair ECE Department

RE: Course Proposal: "0704.113, Intro to Object-Oriented Programming (IOOP)"
Course Proposal: "0704.114, Object-Oriented Programming and Data Abstraction (OOPDA)"
Minor Curriculum Change Proposal

1. Thank you for the opportunity to perform a review of the proposed changes you have recommended for your program of study as well as changes to the minor requirements. We are always interested in seeing what the CS Department is proposing, since our program is but one of several that relies on your department for specific content.

2. Regarding changing the programming language from C++ to Java, we support your efforts to make the adaptations you feel are needed to maintain currency and vitality in the essential core of your curriculum. Your proposals point out the desirability of maintaining service courses that preserve C++ content for engineering. Currently, we see several tracks for consideration:

- Existing major track. The current requirements in the ECE major include: "Computer Science and Programming (CS&P)," "Data Structures for Engineers," and "Software Engineering." From the current proposals, it appears that this pre-existing sequence will remain available. In particular, it means that "CS&P" remains as one of the possible prerequisites for "Data Structures for Engineers," and "Data Structures for Engineers" remains as one of the possible prerequisites for "Software Engineering." Thus, this track means that *no change is required for the ECE program of study.*
- Current Minor. For ECE students, the current CS minor requirements—that are in addition to the standard CS course loading—include:

"Data Structures and Algorithms" (instead of "Data Structures for Engineers"),
"Computer Lab Techniques," and
"Discrete Mathematics."

This 7-SCH minor sequence will still be available for some time in the future—we *need clarification so that we can properly advise students as to the timetable.*

In the limit, as the new courses (IOOP and OOPDA) come on line in F'03, the requirements for the minor will change. To this end, once we understand the transition time between old and new requirements, we will then need to know the different ways an ECE student can handle the mix of pre-existing and new courses.

- Updated Minor-Rev A. From your proposal, it appears that the future ECE student who wishes to complete the CS minor could need to take:

The 1-hour bridge course, "0704.112, Java for Object-Oriented Programmers,"
"Data Structures and Algorithms"
"OOPDA"
"Discrete Mathematics"

This represents a 9-SCH minor requirement.

- Updated Minor-Rev B. Alternatively, it appears that a future ECE student who wishes to complete the CS minor could also take "IOOP" instead of "CS&P" if the ECE program were to allow this substitution:

"IOOP" (instead of "CS&P")
"Data Structures and Algorithms"
"OOPDA"
"Discrete Mathematics"

This represents a 7-SCH minor requirement equal to the current minor SCH requirements; however, this requires that we handle this change as a change in requirement to the freshman-level curriculum. There may very well be a consensus within both ECE and the College of Engineering that shifting to Java does not substantially detract from the original C++ orientation (due to the fact that C++ can be seen as substantially a subset of Java).


3. In summary, it appears that you have thought this change through carefully and have the best interests of your students in mind. Providing us with detailed clarification about the phasing of these curricular changes will better help us provide the best possible advice to our students as well as help us determine whether or not we wish to change some of the CS components of the ECE curriculum to align them more with your program.



*College of Business
Department Of Management &
Management Information Systems*

MEMORDANDUM

To: Seth Bergman, Computer Science Department
Stephen Hartley, Computer Science Department

From: Daniel Davis, Management/MIS, College of Business 

Date: March 30, 2002

Subject: Evaluation of Course Proposal: Java for Object Oriented Programmers
Evaluation of Course Proposal: Object Oriented Programming and Data Abstraction

The Java for Object Oriented Programmers is an excellent course for students needing a transition from C++ to Java. Since C++ and Java are quite similar in syntax, this transition course would emphasize the differences, acquaint students with an Integrated Development Environment (IDE) for Rapid Applications Development (RAD), and teach the important Java Class Libraries that are unique to Java. After taking this course, students with a programming background in C++ would be prepared to take sophomore level courses without requiring a review of Java fundamentals in advanced courses in the Computer Science Department.

Object Oriented Programming and Data Abstraction is also a welcome addition to the Computer Science Department as the first course for CS majors and minors. As stated above, moving to Java will give the CS majors the tools they need to be competitive in developing business systems.

As a member of the Management Information Systems Department in the College of Business, I applaud the Computer Science Department's move from C++ to Java. I encourage MIS majors to take additional courses in the Java language to prepare themselves for information systems careers. Rowan now joins all other colleges in the area in teaching Java in their core courses. I have been teaching Java since 1997 and have seen students acquire very competitive employment with Java skills. I refer you to the Rowan Magazine's article on exception Rowan graduates -- specifically Paul Parkinson.

His six figure salary is the result of his knowledge of Java (acquired at Gloucester County College in 1997.)

I strongly urge the Computer Science and Programming course to switch to Java. This course will now be taken by business majors, engineering majors, and math majors. Java is the language for business – not C++. Is a course for engineering students also meeting the needs for information systems majors in the College of Business? MIS students should be instructed in IDEs, transactional processing into an enterprise level database, and GUI development.

I encourage the MIS faculty to learn Java and object oriented programming concepts and integrate these concepts into the MIS program. I encourage our faculty to integrate Unified Modeling Language (UML) into the Systems Analysis and Design course, integrate Java stored procedures and triggers into the Advanced Database course, and encourage our faculty to integrate XML and Web Services in relation to Java into the Seminar in MIS course such as DOM, SAX, and SOAP. Java is certainly the language of choice for the information systems professional. Information systems majors need to know Java to development ecommerce web sites using Java Server Pages (JSP), Java Servlets, Enterprise Java Beans (EJB), database connectivity using JDBC, web services development, application server development, geographical information systems development etc.



Mathematics Department

MEMO

TO: Stephen J. Hartley
Computer Science Department

FROM: Ron Czocho, Chair
Mathematics Dept

DATE: December 19, 2002

RE: Addendum to previous consultation on proposed changes to CS courses

In my previous communication (3/8/02), I stated the following:

If, as you say in your proposal, students from other disciplines will be better served by studying Visual Basic and C++, how can you justify changing the minor, which is designed for these students, so that they do not take these languages. If Java is a better language for learning how to program a computer, then Intro to Programming should use this language as well.

I cannot support your proposed change if these courses will be required for the CS minor. In fact, since the Mathematics department has students who often want to take advanced CS courses as well, if these new courses are prerequisites for upper level courses I will not support the new courses at all.

If a change is necessary at the introductory level, I think all students would benefit from this change.

With the addition of the new 1 SH bridge course in Java, I can see that our math majors who want to minor in CS will be able to do this with little inconvenience. Those of our majors with an interest in CS from the beginning could easily be advised to take the new course rather than Intro to Programming. I now support the changes.

506

ROWAN UNIVERSITY SENATE
CURRICULUM COMMITTEE OPEN HEARING
WORKSHEET

Date: 12/13/02

PROPOSAL SCC# 02-03-806

TITLE: Inv. for Object Oriented Programmers

Sponsors in Attendance: Stephen Hartley

- Pass with NO CHANGES
- Passed – Return to Sponsor for MINOR CHANGES
- Tabled w/SUGGESTED MINOR CHANGES
- NOT APPROVED

HEARING SUMMARY:

Remove short-term comment from time scale

will attach letters/emails of consultation from previous proposals

including Czochar letter

library form attached to previous proposal
