Master Plan Alternative Development Strategies
• Core campus master plan framework accommodates 1.2 million gsf:
  – Current academic and student life space need is 900,000 gsf for 15,000 HC enrollment
  – Capacity remains for additional housing or research space
• Housing might require additional reliance on remote parking at the West Campus
• Phasing, enrollment growth rate, capital funding and relationship to plan structure will be major determinants in choosing an appropriate alternative
Master Plan Framework: North South Academic Spine
Master Plan Framework
Open Space Framework
Vehicular Circulation
Academic Core Area
Master Plan Framework

- Academic, Research or Residential Expansion
- Academic Core
- 322 Corridor
- Pedestrian Connections
- Campus Crossroads
Plan Alternatives - Drivers

• Minimize domino effect
  – Play fields relocation
  – Parking replacement
  – Demolition of existing buildings

• Concentrate academic core within 5 minute walk circle

• Locate academic growth primarily north of Route 322
Alternative 1 – Use open sites

- Liberal Arts 1 & 2
- Business
- Future Academic

Minimum building displacement
Creates first phase expansion space for LAS, that allows future development of Robinson site
Outside 10 min walk circle
Displaces athletic fields
Does not reinforce master plan structure
Locates key programs at periphery
Alternative 2 – Integrated academic core

Creates crossroads academic building
Reinforces master plan structure
Within 10 min walk circle
Requires swing space for LAS
Alternative 3 – Integrated academic core

- Displaces parking
- No first phase building replacement
- Creates first phase expansion space for LAS, that allows future development of Robinson site
- Reinforces east-west 322 and Meditation Walk axis in master plan

Labels:
- Liberal Arts 1 & 2
- Business
- Future Academic